

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/659,599	09/11/2000	Glenn H. McGall	2719.2001-000	4766
33880	7590 01/10/2005		EXAM	INER
	, BROOK, SMITH & RE	EPPS FORD, JANET L		
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/659,599	MCGALL, GLENN H.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Janet L. Epps-Ford, Ph.D.	1635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat		the correspondence address			
THE - External after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutour to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed of	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (in ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN the mailing date of this communication, even if time	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3)	· —					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 and 30-38 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 and 30-38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)		cuments have been received. cuments have been received in App he priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ ☐ Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-tmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-25-04 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 10-25-04 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-23 and 30-38 based upon 35 USC 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action because the conclusions stated in the McGall Declaration were not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. The conclusions described in the McGall Declaration were based upon the inability of a nitrobenzylic compound recently fabricated by the Affimetrix research group to photocleave at 365 nm. The McGall Declaration concluded that this particular nitrobenzylic compound was not useful in methods of DNA synthesis based upon this observation. Based upon this evidence, the McGall Declaration concluded that "Nitrobenzylic compounds are preferred photosensitive protective groups as is said in the '087 patent. However, as seen from the evidence above, the '087 and the '348 publication do not render every nitrobenzylic compound (or multi-ring compounds having a nitro group) obvious as desirable photosensitive photoprotecting groups." (See page 4, ¶ 12 of the Declaration).

3. The evidence provided by the McGall Declaration is not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention because: The instant claims are not limited to photocleavable groups, in particular see claim 30, the claim merely recites wherein Y1 is a group. Additionally, the instant claims are not limited to the wherein the groups are removable at 365 nm wavelengths. Finally, the instant claims are not limited to methods of DNA synthesis. Therefore, the evidence provided by the McGall Declaration does not address the full scope of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-23, and 30-38 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGall et al. (5,412,087; US'087) in view of McGall et al. (WO 98/39348 A1; WO'98), for the reasons of record set forth in the Office Action mailed 4-09-2003.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10-25-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the instant rejection on the grounds that based upon the evidence provided by the McGall Declaration, "the '087 Patent does not render every desirable photocleavable protecting group obvious. The '348 Publication is related to bicyclic and polycyclic groups and therefore does not remedy the deficiencies of the '087 Patent. Because many protecting groups are not cleavable with 365 nm light, as demonstrated above, it is unexpected that each of the groups recited in the pending claims are cleavable with 365 nm light. One of ordinary skill in the art would have had to perform extensive experimentation in order to prepare suitable compounds, such as those recited in the instant claims. It is emphasized that the ability to be cleaved by 365 nm light and not a shorter wavelength) is important for protecting groups used in

Application/Control Number: 09/659,599

Art Unit: 1635

oligonucleotide synthesis. Because each of the protecting groups recited in the claims have at least one property that is unexpected in view of the cited references, Claims 1-23 and 30-38 are not obvious over the '087 Patent in view of the '348 Publication. Many of the protecting groups exemplified in the cited references are not substantially cleaved by 365 nm light. In contrast, each of the claimed photocleavable protecting groups has this desirable property."

- 6. As stated above, Contrary to Applicant's assertions it is noted that the instant claims are not limited to photocleavable groups. Additionally, the instant claims are not limited to the wherein the photolabile groups are removable at 365 nm wavelengths. Finally, the instant claims are not limited to methods of DNA synthesis. Since the evidence provided by the McGall Declaration is not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention, the McGall Declaration is not sufficient to overcome the instant rejection.
- Moreover, as stated previously, it is clear that compounds of the present invention are encompassed by the "core structure" Ar-C(R1)(R2)-O-C(O)- of the compounds taught by McGall et al. (WO'98). Although Applicants argue that the substituents of the aromatic portion of the protecting groups of McGall et al. (WO'98) are preferably electron-donating groups, therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would not be lead to prepare a protecting having an electron withdrawing group such as a nitro group. First it is noted that Applicants are limiting the scope of the McGall et al. reference to one particular embodiment, however it is clear that other substituents into the aromatic ring are also contemplated. Moreover, absent evidence to the contrary, McGall et al. (WO'98) clearly state that substitutions of the Ar group may be mono-, di- or tri-

Application/Control Number: 09/659,599 Page 5

Art Unit: 1635

substituted, independently, with alkyl, lower-alkyl, or a nitro group (*inter alia*; see page 6, lines 1-4). These substitutions are all considered to be functionally equivalent substituents that would produce a functional photocleavable group.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pacifici et al. (US 3879356).
- 10. Claim 30 is drawn to compounds having the structure M-Y₁. Pacifici et al. describes light-sensitive polymeric compositions. In one particular embodiment Pacifici et al. discloses Benzoic acid, 4-methyl-, 4-methoxy-2-nitrophenyl ester (RN: 56564-38-36). This compound has the following structure:

Application/Control Number: 09/659,599

Art Unit: 1635

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Janet L. Epps-Ford, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

571-272-0757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday, Flex

Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on 571-272-0760. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Janet L. Epps-Ford, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1635

 $J\!L\!E$